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#### Abstract

\section*{Abstract}

Let ( $M, g$ ) be a conformally flat spin manifold. Conformally equivariant quantization [DLO99, DOO1], $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}: \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \mu}(M)$, is a conformally equivariant mapping be tween weighted symbols and differential operators acting on densities over $M$. We extend here this construction to super symbols, which are functions on the supercotangent bundle $\mathcal{M}$ of $M$, and to the space of differential operators acting on spinor densities over $M$. We obtain an explicit covariant expression of $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ on symbols of degree one in the even momenta,


 invariant differential operators and Killing-Yano tensors are given.
## Equivariant quantization of cotangent bundles

Let $G$ be a Lie group of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $M$ be a manifold endowed with a $G$-flat st Then $\mathfrak{g} \subset \operatorname{Vect}(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\lambda}=\Gamma\left(\left|\Lambda^{n} T^{*} M\right|^{\otimes \lambda}\right)$ the space of $\lambda$-densities.

1. Phase space: $\mathfrak{g} \circlearrowleft\left(T^{*} M, \omega_{T^{*} M}\right)$, where $\omega_{T^{*} M}=d p_{i} \wedge d x^{i}$
2. Space of classical observables: the Vect $(M)$-module $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(M)=\Gamma(\mathcal{S T M}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\delta}$ $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(T^{*} M\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\delta}$ of $\delta$-weighted symbols, with $\delta=\mu-\lambda$.
3. Space of quantum observables: the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module $\mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \mu}(M)$ of differential operator 3. Space of quantum onsities, $A: \mathcal{F}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\mu}$
Anser

Definition 0.1. A $G$-equivariant quantization of the cotangent bundle $T^{*} M$ is a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}: \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \mu}(M)$, preserving the principal symbol. - Existence and uniqueness of the conformally equivariant quantization [DLO99]. Explicit - Existence and uniqueness of the conformaly equivariant quantiz. $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ acting on symbols of degrees less than 2 [DOo1]

- For $\lambda=\mu=\frac{1}{2}$, it defines a conformally invariant star-product [DEGO04].


## Classical and quantum spaces of spin systems

Let $(M, g)$ be a pseudo-riemannian spin manifold, representing the configuration space of a spin system.

1. Phase space: the supercotangent bundle $\mathcal{M}=T^{*} M \times_{M} \Pi T M$, with $\Pi$ the parity reversing functor. We denote by ( $\left.x^{i}, p_{i}, \xi^{i}\right)$ a system of natural local coordinates, generating locally $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(T^{*} M\right) \otimes \Omega(M)$. Its canonical symplectic form is [Rot91]

$$
\omega=d p_{i} \wedge d x^{i}+\frac{\hbar}{4 i} g_{l m} R_{k i j}^{m} \xi^{k} \xi^{l} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}+\frac{\hbar}{2 i} g_{i j} d^{\nabla} \xi^{i} \wedge d^{\nabla} \xi^{j} .
$$

2. Space of classical observables: the space of super $\delta$-weighted symbols $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}[\xi]=$ $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{S} T M \otimes \Lambda T^{*} M\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\delta}$, included in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\delta}$. It is graded by the degrees in $p$ and in $\xi: \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(M)=\bigoplus_{k, \kappa} \mathcal{S}_{k, \kappa}^{\delta}[\xi]$.
3. Space of quantum observables: the space of differential operators acting on spinor den sities $\mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \mu}$, filtered by the order: $\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\lambda, \mu} \subset \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\lambda, \mu} \subset$
Let us introduce the Darboux coordinates given by the map ev ${ }_{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}$

$$
\operatorname{ev}_{g}\left(x^{i}\right)=x^{i}, \quad \tilde{\xi}^{a}=\operatorname{ev}_{g}\left(\xi^{i}\right)=\theta_{i}^{a} \xi^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{p}_{i}=\operatorname{ev} g\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}+\omega_{b i}^{a} \tilde{j}_{a} \tilde{\xi}^{b}
$$

where $\omega$ is the Levi-Civita connection and $\theta$ an orthonormal frame. On a chart $U$, the normal ordering is defined by [Get83]

$$
\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(U)[\xi] \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \mu}(U)
$$

$P_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}(x) \tilde{\xi}^{\tilde{j}_{1}} \ldots \tilde{\xi}^{j_{k}} \tilde{p}_{i_{1}} \cdots \tilde{p}_{i_{k}} \mapsto P_{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}}^{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}(x) \frac{\gamma^{j_{1}}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdots \frac{\gamma^{j_{k}}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_{i_{k}}$

## The geometric quantization $Q_{G}$ of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$

 complex subbundle $P$ of $T^{\mathbb{C}} M$ whose fibers are isotropic and maximal for $g$. Suppose that $P$ defines a $N$-structure on $(M, g)$. Then, $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ admits a polarization, and
polarized functions are sections of $\Lambda P^{*}$ polarized functions are sections of $\Lambda P^{*}$
Theorem 0.3. $\bullet Q_{G}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}(\xi)\right) \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(M, g)$ turns $\Lambda P^{*}$ in a spinor bundle of $M$.
$\bullet Q_{G}\left(\mathcal{J}_{X}^{T^{*} M}\right)=\nabla_{X}$, where $\mathcal{J}^{T^{*} M}: T^{*} M \rightarrow(\operatorname{Vect}(M))^{*}$ is the momentum map and
$\nabla_{X}$ is the spinor covariant derivative $\nabla_{X}$ is the spinor covariant derivative.

- $Q_{G}$ is defined on $\mathcal{S}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{0}[\xi]$, and coincide with the normal ordering $\mathcal{N}$

Classical and quantum actions of the conformal Lie algebra $\operatorname{conf}(M, g)$
$\operatorname{conf}(M, g)=\left\{X \in \operatorname{Vect}(M) \mid L_{X} g=F g\right.$, for $\left.0<F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)\right\} \leq \mathrm{o}(p+1, q+1)$. Let $\alpha=p_{i} d x^{i}+\frac{\hbar}{2 i} g_{i j} \xi^{i} d^{\nabla} \xi^{j}$ be the potential 1-form of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. $d \alpha=\omega$, and let $\beta=g_{i j} \xi^{i} d x^{j}$. Theorem 0.4. There is a unique lift $\operatorname{conf}(M, g) \ni X \mapsto \tilde{X} \in \operatorname{Vect}(\mathcal{M})$ preserving $\alpha$ and the direction of $\beta$
We denote by $\mathbb{L}_{X}^{\delta}=X^{i} \partial_{i}-p_{j}\left(\partial_{i} X^{j}\right) \partial_{p_{i}}+\xi^{i}\left(\partial_{i} X^{j}\right) \partial_{\xi^{j}}+\delta\left(\partial_{i} X^{i}\right)$ the natural action of $X$ on $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}[\xi]$. Its hamiltonian action is given by $L_{X}^{\delta}=\tilde{X}+\delta \partial_{i} X^{i}$, i.e.,

$$
L_{X}^{\delta}=\operatorname{ev} \mathbb{L}_{X}^{\delta}(\operatorname{evg})^{-1}-\frac{\partial_{i} X^{i}}{n} \tilde{\xi}^{i} \partial_{\tilde{\xi}^{i}}-\frac{\hbar}{2 i} \tilde{\tilde{\xi}}^{\xi} \tilde{\xi}^{j}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j} X^{k}\right) \partial_{\tilde{p}_{i}} .
$$

The Lie derivative of spinors [Kos72] (of weight $\lambda$ ) along $X \in \operatorname{conf}(M, g)$ is given by

$$
\left\llcorner_{X}^{\lambda}=Q\left(\mathcal{J}_{X}^{\mathcal{M}}\right)+\lambda \nabla_{i} X^{i}=\nabla_{X}+\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{[j} X_{i]} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{j}+\lambda \nabla_{i} X^{i}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow(\operatorname{conf}(M, g))^{*}$ is the momentum map. The quantum action of $X \in$ $\operatorname{conf}(M, g)$ on $A \in \mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \mu}$ is defined as $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\lambda, \mu} A=\mathrm{L}_{X}^{\mu} A-A \mathrm{~L}_{X}^{\lambda}$
Proposition 0.5. $\mathcal{N}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\lambda, \mu} \circ \mathcal{N}-L_{X}^{\delta}=$ nilpotent operator lowering the degree in $p$.

## Main results

Let $(M, g)$ be a conformally flat spin manifold, of dimension $n$.
Theorem 0.6. For $\delta$ generic, there exists a unique conformally equivariant quantization $\left.\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}: \mathcal{S}^{\delta} \mid \xi\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \mu}$.
Theorem 0.7. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}: \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\delta}[\xi] \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\lambda, \mu}$ be a conformally equivariant quantization. 1. If $n \delta \notin\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$, then $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ exists and is unique.
2. If $n \delta=1$, or $n \delta=n+1$, and $\left(\lambda=\frac{n-1}{2 n}, \mu=\frac{n+1}{2 n}\right)$ or $\left(\lambda=\frac{-1}{2 n}, \mu=\frac{2 n+1}{2 n}\right)$, then $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ exists but is not unique. With the additionnal condition $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}(\bar{P})=\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}(P)^{*}$, uniqueness is recovered. These values are called resonances.
3. Else, there exists no such $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}^{\delta}$ be the conf $(M, g)$-module $\left(\oplus_{\kappa} \mathcal{S}_{x, \kappa^{\kappa}}^{\delta-\frac{\kappa}{n}}, \mathbb{L}_{X}^{\delta}\right)$. The conformal equivariant superization $S_{\mathcal{T}}^{\delta}: \mathcal{T}^{\delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\delta}[\xi]$ exists and is unique in the generic case. Restricting $S_{\mathcal{T}}^{\delta}$ to symbols of degree 1 in $p$, the critical values of $\delta$ are $\delta=\frac{2}{n}, \cdots, \frac{n}{n}$.

In terms of $\partial^{\nabla}$ the horizontal derivation, $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ has for expression in the generic case
$\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}(P)=\mathcal{N}\left(P^{i}\right) \frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{K}} \nabla_{i}^{\lambda}$
$+\mathcal{N}\left(\left(c_{d}+c_{\lambda \psi}\right) \partial_{i}^{\nabla} P^{i}-c_{\lambda \psi} g^{i j} g_{k l}{ }^{l} \partial_{i}^{\nabla} P_{j}^{k}+c_{\gamma \omega} \xi^{i} \partial_{i}^{\nabla} P_{j}^{j}+c_{\lambda \omega} g^{i j} \partial_{i}^{\nabla} P_{k j}^{k}\right)$,
where $P^{i}=\partial_{p_{i}} P, P_{i}=\partial_{\xi_{i}} P$, and the coefficients are functions of the degree of $P$ in $\xi$. ${ }^{(2)}$

## Applications and example

All the following results stand on $(M, g)$ a conformally flat spin manifold, of dimension $n$. Proposition 0.8. $\mathcal{J}_{X}^{T^{*}} M \xrightarrow{S_{T}^{0}} \mathcal{J}_{X}^{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}^{1, \lambda}} \mathrm{~L}^{\lambda}$
Let $\Delta=\tilde{p}_{i} \tilde{\xi}^{i}, \chi=\left(\operatorname{vol}_{g}\right)_{1} \cdots i_{n} \tilde{\xi}^{i_{1}} \ldots \tilde{\xi}^{i_{n}}$ and $\Delta * \chi$ be their Moyal star-product w.r.t $\omega$ Theorem 0.9. The conformally invariant elements of modules $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}$ and D are - $\operatorname{ev}_{g}^{-1}\left(\Delta^{a} * \chi^{b} R^{s}\right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\frac{2 s+a}{n}}$, where $a, b=0,1$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

- $\Delta^{a} * \chi^{b} R^{s} \in \mathcal{S}^{\frac{2+5 a}{n}}[\xi]$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b=0,1$ s.t. $a+b \neq 0$;
$\bullet \mathcal{N}(\chi) \in \mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \lambda}$, or $\mathcal{N}(\Delta * \chi) \in \mathrm{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2 n}, \frac{n+1}{2 n}}$, or $\mathcal{N}\left(\Delta R^{s}\right) \in \mathrm{D}^{\frac{n-2 s-1}{2 n}, \frac{n+2 s+1}{2 n}}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in$
When $S_{\mathcal{T}}^{\delta}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$ exist, we have: $\left(\mathcal{T}^{\delta}\right)^{\text {conf }} \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{T}}^{\delta}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right)^{\text {conf }} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\lambda, \mu}\right)^{\text {conf }}$.
Corollary 0.10. The Dirac operator arises as the conformally equivariant quantization of the conformal invariant symbol $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{n-1}{2 n}, \frac{n+1}{2 n}}(\Delta)=\frac{\gamma^{i}}{\sqrt{2}} \nabla_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1, n+1}{2 n}, \frac{n+1}{2 n}}, \quad \text { (3) }
$$

the weights $\left(\frac{n-1}{2 n}, \frac{n+1}{2 n}\right)$ corresponding to one of the two resonances of $\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \mu}$.
Definition 0.11. A conformal Killing-Yano (CKY) tensor of order $\kappa$ is a $\kappa$-form $f$ satisfying

$$
\nabla_{\left(j_{1} 1\right.} f_{\left.j_{2}\right) j_{3} \ldots j_{k+1}}=g_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \Phi_{j_{3} \ldots j_{k+1}}-(\kappa-1) g_{\left[j _ { 3 } \left(j_{1}\right.\right.} \Phi_{\left.\left.\left.j_{2}\right)\right)_{4} \ldots j_{k+1}\right]}
$$

where $\Phi=\frac{1}{n-\kappa+1} \nabla_{j_{1}} f_{j_{2} \ldots, j_{k}}^{j_{1}}$. If $\Phi=0, f$ is a Killing-Yano tensor.
For $f$ a tensor of order $\kappa$, we denote by $P_{f}=f_{j_{1} \ldots j_{k-1}}^{i} 1^{j_{1}} \ldots \xi^{j_{k-1}} p_{i} \in \mathcal{T}^{0}$
Theorem 0.12 (Generalization of [Tan95]).
$\left\{\Delta, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}^{0}\left(P_{f}\right)\right\}=0(\alpha \Delta) \Longleftrightarrow f$ is a (conformal) Killing-Yano tensor.
Proposition 0.13. Let $f$ be a Killing-Yano tensor of order 2, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}^{\lambda, \lambda}\left(S_{\mathcal{T}}^{0}\left(P_{f}\right)\right)=\frac{\hbar}{i \sqrt{2}}\left(f_{j}^{i} \gamma^{j} \nabla_{i}+\frac{1}{6} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{j} \gamma^{k} \nabla_{i} f_{j k}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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